



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/758,266	01/16/2004	Sheng Liang	6502.0523-01	5702
60667 7590 03/05/2008 SUN MICROSYSTEMS/HINNEGAN, HENDERSON LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413				
EXAMINER RUTTEN, JAMES D				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2192				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
03/05/2008		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/758,266

**Applicant(s)**

LIANG ET AL.

**Examiner**

JAMES RUTTEN

**Art Unit**

2192

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JAMES RUTTEN.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Kenie Ho, Reg. No. 51,808.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 2/25/08.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 55-74.

Identification of prior art discussed: Bequelin.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Ho wanted to discuss the differences of the prior art with respect to the new claims. He stressed that Bequelin did not provide a virtual machine profiler interface that communicates information regarding activities of a garbage collector, as well as other claim limitations. Mr. Rutten indicated that these arguments would be considered when the new claims are examined.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/J. Derek Rutten/  
Patent Examiner, AU 2192

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an  
Attachment to a signed Office action.